1 2	Ronald D. Green, NV Bar #7360 Randazza Legal Group 6525 W. Warm Springs Road, Suite 100 Las Vegas, NV 89118	
3		
	888-667-1113 305-437-7662 fax	
4	ecf@randazza.com	
5	Attorney for Plaintiffs	
6	MARC J. RANDAZZA, JENNIFER RANDAZZA, and NATALIA RANDAZZA	
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
10	MARC J. RANDAZZA, an individual,) Case No. 2:12-cv-02040
11	JENNIFER RANDAZZA, an individual, and)
12	NATALIA RANDAZZA, a minor,	MOTION TO REVOKE DEFENDANT CRYSTAL COX'S CM/ECF
13	Plaintiffs,	PRIVILEGES
14	VS.)
15	CRYSTAL COX, an individual, and ELIOT))
16	BERNSTEIN, an individual,	
17	Defendants.)
18		
19	Plaintiffs Marc I Randazza Jennifer Randazza and Natalia Randazza through counsel	
20	hereby submit this Motion to Revoke Defendant Crystal Cox's CM/ECF Privileges.	
	Memorandum of Points and Authorities	
21	Since being granted CM/ECF privileges by this Court on December 12, 2012, Defendant	
22 23	Cox has made numerous unnecessary filings, driving up the number of docket entries in this two-	
23	month-old case to nearly seventy, with hundreds of pages of irrelevant and nonsensical allegations,	
25	and copious irrelevant exhibits accompanying most of the motions, briefings, and notices she	
26	submits. The number of filings Cox continues to electronically file has become not only	
27	burdensome to Plaintiffs, but also to the Court, which has hundreds of extraneous and immaterial	
28	documents to sift through prior to making a deci	sion on any motion. Cox's incessant electronic
	í e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	

filing only serves the purpose to delay litigation and to prevent Plaintiffs from receiving their requested relief. As such, Plaintiffs respectfully request this Court revoke Defendant Cox's electronic filing privileges in order to encourage Defendant to exercise judiciousness in the documents she chooses to file with this Court.

According to the United States District Court for the District of Nevada's Electronic Filing Procedures, non-attorneys may not file electronically without permission from the Court. "Electronic Filing Procedures" at I(C). The ability to file electronically is a privilege granted by this Court and was granted to Defendant Cox only after she satisfied the procedure to activate her CM/ECF account. (ECF 11). When litigants, both represented and self-represented, abuse this privilege, courts revoke the privilege in favor or protecting the integrity of the litigation process. See Exhibit A, Schultz v. Krause, No. 11-1796 MCE, Dkt. 23, slip op. at 3-4 (E.D. Cal. Nov. 3, 2011) (revoking pro se plaintiff's electronic filing privileges due to his "voluminous unauthorized filings")¹; see also Kaufman v. I.R.S., 787 F.Supp.2d 27, 36 (D.D.C. 2011) (revoking pro se plaintiffs' electronically filing privileges after they "flooded [the] docket with numerous improper and unintelligible filings"); see also Exhibit B, Collum v. Paypal, No. 12-017, Dkt. 33 (D. Neb. November, 20, 2012).

In *Kaufman*, the court reasoned that the plaintiffs' conduct in the case impeded "the administration of justice" through abusive electronic filings. 787 F.Supp.2d at 36. In supporting its decision to revoke the plaintiffs' electronic privileges, the court quoted a United Staets Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit decision that allows courts to employ "injunctive remedies to protect the integrity of courts and the orderly and expeditious administration of justice." *Id.*, *quoting Urban v. United Nations*, 768 F.2d 1497, 1500 (D.C. Cir. 1985). The court ordered that the plaintiffs' electronic filing privileges be revoked to allow the clerk to "inspect any further submissions prior to entering them into the docket." *Id.* at 37.

¹ The Court may take judicial notice of Exhibits A and B under Fed. R. of Evid. 201(b)(2) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 44, as Plaintiffs have provided the Court with file-stamped copies of the orders referenced from both cases. *See also Chandler v. U.S.*, 378 F.2d 906, 908 (9th Cir. 1967), stating that a federal court may take judicial notice of its own records.

Case 2:12-cv-02040-GMN-PAL Document 69 Filed 02/04/13 Page 3 of 4

Similar to the pro se litigants whose electronic filing privileges have been revoked, Defendant Cox also has abused her privileges by filing numerous frivolous motions, "notices," and other impertinent documents, that have nothing to do with the issues in the Complaint or her defenses thereto and which impede the administration of justice in this Court.

Cox's intent is evidenced by her extra-judicial statements that reveal her motivation: Cox

Cox's intent is evidenced by her extra-judicial statements that reveal her motivation: Cox takes the position that her defamatory statements become immune from liability as long as they have an ECF stamp on them. See Exhibit C. However, even the litigation privilege is not absolute. Clark County Sch. Dist. v. Virtual Educ. Software Inc., 125 Nev. 374, 383, 213 P.3d 496, 503 (Nev. 2009) (applying litigation privilege only where a 1) judicial proceeding is contemplated in good faith and under serious consideration, and 2) the communication is related to the litigation); Fink v. Oshins, 118 Nev. 428, 433, 49 P.3d 640, 644 (Nev. 2002) (requiring protected statements to be pertinent to the controversy, and made either during litigation or in anticipation of litigation "contemplated in good faith and under serious consideration"). The only results Cox's filings serve are to clog the Court with immaterial notices and motions that unnecessarily divert Plaintiffs' and this Court's time and resources and obscure the issues of fact and law in this case. The exercise of printing out and submitting Cox's filings through the use of the traditional U.S. postal service will make Ms. Cox more judicious in the motions and exhibits she chooses to file and will allow this Court to continue to move the case forward and rule on the merits of the pending motions currently before it.

Accordingly, Plaintiffs respectfully request this Court revoke Defendant Cox's electronic filing privileges and issue other appropriate sanctions.

22

1

3

5

6

8

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

Dated: February 4, 2013 Respectfully submitted,

24

/s/Ronald D. Green Ronald D. Green, NV Bar #7360

25

Randazza Legal Group 6525 W. Warm Springs Road, Suite 100

26

Las Vegas, NV 89118 888-667-1113: 305-437-7662 fav

27

888-667-1113; 305-437-7662 fax

28

ecf@randazza.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 5(b), I hereby certify that the foregoing document was filed using this Court's CM/ECF system on February 4, 2013. Dated: February 4, 2013 Respectfully Submitted, Laura M. Tucker Law Clerk ecf@randazza.com Randazza Legal Group 6525 W. Warm Springs Rd., Suite 100 Las Vegas, NV 89118 (888) 667-1113 (305) 437-7662 fax Motion to Revoke Defendant's CM/ECF